

AD 1961
G6
No. 166

Stacks

Division of Agricultural Sciences
University of California


PRELIMINARY REPORT--
PACIFIC COAST CANNED PEARS
F.O.B. PRICE RELATIONSHIPS, 1926-27 to 1953-54

Sidney Hoos and R. E. Seltzer

California Agricultural Experiment Station
Giannini Foundation of Agricultural Economics

Mimeographed Report No. 166

May 1954



PRELIMINARY REPORT--
PACIFIC COAST CANNED PEARS
F.O.B. PRICE RELATIONSHIPS, 1926-27 to 1953-54

Sidney Hoos^{1/} and R. E. Seltzer^{2/}

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Results	2
Data	3
Technical Note	5
Table 0. Canners' On Hand and Movement in 1953-54	6
Table 1. F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears and Related Economic Variables from 1926-27	7
Table 2. Actual and Estimated F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Average All Grades and Sizes, from 1926-27	8
Table 3. F.O.B. Prices of Canned Fruits from 1924-25	9
Table 4. Construction of Adjusted Index of Prices of Canned Fruits Competing with Pacific Coast Canned Pears from 1924-25	10
Table 5. Canners' Commercial Domestic Shipments of Canned Fruits from 1924-25	11
Table 6. California Canned Cling Peaches, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25	12
Table 7. California Canned Apricots, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25	14
Table 8. Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25	16
Table 9. California Canned Freestone Peaches, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25	18
Table 10. California Canned Fruit Cocktail, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports from 1936-37	20

^{1/} Professor of Agricultural Economics and Economist in the Experiment Station and on the Giannini Foundation.

^{2/} Research Assistant on the Giannini Foundation.

INDUSTRIAL REPORT
PACIFIC COAST CANADA PLATE
25-25 to 1938-39

Shay Hoos and R. E. Coffey

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
2	Results	1
3	Tables	1
4	Conclusion	1
5	Table 0. Changes, W.H. and movement in 1933-34	1
6	Table 1. Price of Pacific Coast Canned Fish and Herring	1
7	Table 2. Average Alaska Salmon from 1936-37	1
8	Table 3. Losses, Average H.I. Cans and Size from 1936-37	1
9	Table 4. Price of Canned Herring from 1936-37	1
10	Table 5. Configuration of Adjusted Index of Prices of Canned Fish	1
11	Table 6. Comparison with Pacific Coast Canned Fish from 1936-37	1
12	Table 7. Cans, Commercial Domestic Products of Canned Fish	1
13	Table 8. Cans, Domestic Canned Fish Products, Canned, Fresh, Canned-Oven	1
14	Table 9. Cans, Domestic Canned Abalone, Canned, Fresh, Canned-Oven	1
15	Table 10. Cans, Domestic Canned Clams, Canned, Fresh, Canned-Oven	1
16	Table 11. Cans, Domestic Canned Fish, Canned, Fresh, Canned-Oven	1
17	Table 12. Cans, Domestic Canned Fish, Fresh, Canned, Fresh	1
18	Table 13. Cans, Domestic Canned Fish, Fresh, Canned, Fresh	1
19	Table 14. Cans, Domestic Canned Fish, Fresh, Canned, Fresh	1
20	Table 15. Cans, Domestic Canned Fish, Fresh, Canned, Fresh	1

A. Influence of Industrial development and population on the industrial production
and on the domestic boundaries.

B. Researches Affecting on the Germanic Economy.

PRELIMINARY REPORT--
PACIFIC COAST CANNED PEARS
F.O.B. PRICE RELATIONSHIPS, 1926-27 to 1953-54

Introduction

This preliminary report is being issued in response to the request of pear growers and canners. The report's objective is to provide the various participants in the canned pear industry--growers, packers, distributors, and purchasers--with economic and marketing information. Such information, including statistical data on packs, shipments, carry-overs, f.o.b. prices and their relations to the major factors affecting them, is used by canners and grower groups in their consideration and formulation of production and marketing policies and programs.

The analysis of f.o.b. price relationships summarized in this report is concerned with Pacific Coast canned pears, including those canned in California and in the Northwest. In terms of price relationships, the three West Coast states comprise a single entity from the view of producing and canning pears. The three states, thus, are considered as a single economic area.

The report includes specific marketing data and price relationships which are examined in detail and in relation to alternative marketing plans at industry meetings. It is for use in such discussions that the report is prepared in the form presented here.

The report is preliminary because of the following reasons: (1) the 1953-54 marketing year prices are averages for the ten-month period June 1, 1953-April 1, 1954; (2) the canners' carry-overs as of June 1, 1954, are estimates based on actual ten-month canner movement and projected movement for the last two months of the marketing year; and (3) national disposal income for 1953-54 includes an estimate for the last quarter of the marketing year. These estimates are necessary to provide the industry with marketing information which can be used now and before final and complete figures are available.

PRIMARY REPORT
POLITICAL GOALS COMMUNIST LEADERS
U.S. LABOR RELATIONSHIP, 1933-34 to 1939-40

Introduction

This preliminary report is based upon testimony before the Senate Committee on Banking and Currency, the House Committee on Banking and Currency, and the House Committee on Education and Labor, concerning the effect of the New Deal upon agriculture and labor. The report's objective is to determine the changes in the economic base resulting from the New Deal, and to analyze the economic and social consequences of those changes. The report also attempts to determine the effect of the New Deal upon agriculture and labor, and to assess the impact of the New Deal upon the economy and society.

Bibliography

The report is based upon testimony before the House Committee on Banking and Currency concerning the effect of the New Deal upon agriculture and labor. The report also attempts to determine the effect of the New Deal upon agriculture and labor, and to assess the impact of the New Deal upon the economy and society.

The New Deal and Agriculture

13-325 add (1) : The report is based upon testimony before the House Committee on Banking and Currency concerning the effect of the New Deal upon agriculture and labor. The report also attempts to determine the effect of the New Deal upon agriculture and labor, and to assess the impact of the New Deal upon the economy and society.

Report of the Committee on Banking and Currency

Results

The f.o.b. prices which reflect the average industry experience during 1953-54 may be summarized as follows:

Canned fruit	Choice No. 2½	Average of all grades and sizes dollars per case
California cling peaches	5.14	4.66
California apricots	5.24	4.62
Pacific Coast pears	6.89	5.68
California freestone (Elberta) peaches	5.60	--
California fruit cocktail	6.64	5.44

The price of Hawaiian pineapple (sliced, fancy, No. 2½, f.o.b. San Francisco) averaged \$6.85 per case for 1953-54.

The estimated movement of canned fruit from California canners during 1953-54 is given in detail in the appended tables. But the following summary table indicates the high lights:

Canned fruit	Movement from canners	
	Total	Domestic commercial
thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis		
California cling peaches	16,868	14,543
California apricots	4,635	4,139
Pacific Coast pears	6,088	5,672
California freestone peaches	2,786	2,749
California fruit cocktail	8,112	6,989

In addition, it is estimated that some 12,700,000 cases of pineapple moved into trade channels through packers' and importers' shipments for domestic civilian consumption.

Results

Estimated average cost per case of new patients per year is Rs. 1,000/-

Estimated average cost per case of follow-up is Rs. 250/-

Category of cases	No. of cases	Cost per case	Cost per case
Initial cases	100	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 20,000/-
Follow-up cases	100	Rs. 250/-	Rs. 2,500/-
Recurrent cases	100	Rs. 800/-	Rs. 80,000/-
Total	300	Rs. 1,050/-	Rs. 315,000/-
			(Estimated average cost per case of follow-up is Rs. 250/-)

Estimated average cost per case of new patients per year is Rs. 1,000/-

Estimated average cost per case of follow-up is Rs. 250/-

Estimated average cost per case of new patients per year is Rs. 1,000/-

Estimated average cost per case of follow-up is Rs. 250/-

Category of cases	No. of cases	Cost per case	Cost per case
Initial cases	100	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 20,000/-
Follow-up cases	100	Rs. 250/-	Rs. 2,500/-
Recurrent cases	100	Rs. 800/-	Rs. 80,000/-
Total	300	Rs. 1,050/-	Rs. 315,000/-
			(Estimated average cost per case of follow-up is Rs. 250/-)

Estimated average cost per case of new patients per year is Rs. 1,000/-

Estimated average cost per case of follow-up is Rs. 250/-

Estimated average cost per case of new patients per year is Rs. 1,000/-

In addition to providing the basic marketing information summarized above and in the attached tables, this report presents the results of statistical analyses of the major factors which are related to the industry average f.o.b. prices of canned pears. Such major factors include the domestic commercial movement of canned pears from Pacific Coast canneries, the level of national disposable personal income, and the relative level of prices of canned fruits competing with canned pears. Those price-influencing factors are shown in Table 1 appended to this report. The f.o.b. price relationships may be summarized as follows:

A change of 1,000,000 cases (24 No. $2\frac{1}{2}$ basis) in the commercial domestic movement of Pacific Coast canned pears, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by a change in the opposite direction of about 63 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

An increase of 10 per cent in the index of disposable income, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by an increase of about 43 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

A change of 10 points in the adjusted index of prices of competing canned fruits, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by a change in the same direction of about 15 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

Differences between the actual f.o.b. prices of Pacific Coast canned pears (average of all grades and sizes) and those explained by the statistical analysis are given in Table 2 of this report.

Data

Although the sources of the data on which the report is based are indicated in the footnotes of the various tables, the following supplementary explanations

Differences between the soft ice of positive case and cloudy base (as
above) will always be found in the soft ice of negative cases (as in the
present case).

Date

betwischen uns bestand ein Vertrag mit mehreren von uns selbst und den anderen Betriebsteilen zwischen dem Betrieb und den anderen Betriebsteilen besteht eine ähnliche Abmachung.

may be noted for the 1953-54 prices, movement data, and other economic information used in the analysis.

The f.o.b. prices of clings and apricots are industry average prices reflecting cannery operations. The f.o.b. price for canned pears also reflects actual experience of the canneries, but the pear price reflects operations of canneries in the Pacific Northwest as well as in California. The canned pear price for the Northwest was made available through the Northwest Canners Association and that for California was made available through the Canners League of California. The basic price data for canned apricots were also made available through the Canners League of California. The basic price data for cling peaches and fruit cocktail were made available through the Cling Peach Advisory Board. The price data for freestone peaches and Hawaiian pineapple are based on published quotations supplemented by trade information.

The 1953-54 prices referred to above and used in the analysis are preliminary. This is so because they reflect industry experience for the ten-month period from June 1, 1953-April 1, 1954. The canner movement data for 1953-54 are preliminary since they include estimates for the final two months of the marketing year. The movement during each of the months, April and May, 1954, was estimated to be equal to the average monthly movement during the period June 1, 1953-March, 1954, as derived from canners' stocks data issued by the Canners League of California and the Northwest Canners Association. The movement data for Hawaiian pineapple were derived from trade sources.

The index of United States disposable personal income is based on reports issued by the U. S. Department of Commerce. In the disposable income figures used for 1953-54 in the analysis, it has been necessary to estimate the value for the last quarter of the marketing year; it has been estimated at the level prevailing during the previous quarter. The level of competing canned fruit prices is measured by an index constructed as indicated in Table 4 appended to this report.

Technical Note

With price as the dependent variable and the three other variables below considered as the independent variables, the multiple linear regression equation fitted by the method of least squares to the series covering the years, 1924-25 through 1953-54 (excluding 1941-42 through 1947-48), is:

$$X_1 = -13.372860 - 0.000631(X_2) + 10.294820(\log_{10} X_3) + 0.014716(X_4)$$

(4.143193) (10.159623) (2.246401)

where:

X_1 is the annual average f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears (dollars per case), Table 1, column 1.

X_2 is the canners' commercial domestic movement of Pacific Coast canned pears (in units of 1,000 cases), Table 1, column 2.

X_3 is the index of United States disposable personal income (1947-1949 = 100), Table 1, column 3.

X_4 is the adjusted index of prices of competing canned fruits (1947-1949 = 100), Table 1, column 4.

The figures in parentheses are t-ratios of the net regression coefficients.

The adjusted coefficient of multiple correlation is

$$\bar{R}_{X_1 \cdot X_2, \log_{10} X_3 \cdot \log X_4} = 0.96$$

more soldiers will join the prime force and thus increase its strength and as soon after
midnight another division will join the main force and increase its strength and as before

$$\frac{1}{2}(X)M^2H^2C_0 + \frac{1}{2}(X)M^2S^2C_0 + \frac{1}{2}(X)S^2H^2C_0 - 0.3558C_0 = 0.3558C_0$$

(as the first number is to increase) since (value of H) being less than 1, the value of C is
less than 1, since I adds to (value of C) which is less than 1, since C is less than 1,
then the value of C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1,
since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1,
since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1,

= 0.3558C_0 (since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1)

= 0.3558C_0 (since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1)

= 0.3558C_0 (since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1)

= 0.3558C_0 (since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1)

Since C is less than 1,
since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1,
since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1,
since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1, since C is less than 1,

TABLE O

Canners' On Hand and Movement in 1953-54

Factors of supply and movement	California cling peaches	California apricots	Pacific Coast pears	California freestone peaches	California fruit cocktail
	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis				
Canners' on hand, June 1, 1953	2,328	646	1,361	465	1,047
Pack in 1953	17,163	4,718	5,185	2,580	8,227
Total supply available for 1953-54	19,490	5,364	6,546	3,045	9,274
Canners' on hand, April 1, 1954	5,741	1,611	1,473	723	2,514
Canners' movement, June 1, 1953-April 1, 1954	13,749	3,753	5,073	2,322	6,760
Average monthly movement, June 1, 1953-April 1, 1954	1,375	375	507	232	676
Estimated canners' movement, April 1, 1954-June 1, 1954 (including United Kingdom purchases in April, 1954)	3,119 (369)	882 (132)	1,015	464	1,352
Estimated total movement, June 1, 1953-June 1, 1954	16,868	4,635	6,088	2,786	8,112
Estimated canners' on hand, June 1, 1954	2,622	729	458	259	1,162
U. S. government purchases:					
Quartermaster	534	257	310	—	327
School lunch	763	—	—	37	—
Estimated total commercial movement, 1953-54	15,571	4,578	5,778	2,749	7,785
Estimated exports (including United Kingdom purchases in April, 1954)	911	239	106	—	796
Estimated domestic commercial movement, 1953-54	14,660	4,139	5,672	2,749	6,989

Sources: Based on data issued by Canners League of California, Northwest Canners Association, and U. S. Department of Commerce.

OF COMPTON*

ବ୍ୟାପକ ରୀତିରେ ମହାନ୍ ଶର୍ଦୁ ଲାଲଙ୍କାରୀଙ୍କ ଜୀବନ-ଚିତ୍ର

DEPT. C

TABLE 1

F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears and Related
Economic Variables from 1926-27

Marketing year, June through May	F.o.b. price of Pacific Coast canned pears (average all grades and sizes)	Commercial domestic movement of Pacific Coast canned pears	Index of United States disposable income	Index of com- peting canned fruit prices
1926-27	4.31	1,957	38.3	201.9
1927-28	4.60	1,637	38.6	176.3
1928-29	4.13	2,170	40.1	172.4
1929-30	4.82	2,383	41.7	195.4
1930-31	3.53	2,617	36.5	174.9
1931-32	2.82	1,990	29.6	175.3
1932-33	2.48	2,200	24.8	182.6
1933-34	2.64	2,767	25.8	208.1
1934-35	3.05	2,984	29.2	199.8
1935-36	2.92	2,670	33.1	168.2
1936-37	2.92	3,997	36.6	156.6
1937-38	3.07	2,681	36.4	171.2
1938-39	2.77	3,114	35.8	144.3
1939-40	3.27	2,768	38.7	145.2
(War years)				
1948-49	7.37	3,660	101.7	104.5
1949-50	5.15	5,613	102.8	88.9
1950-51	6.90	4,815	115.5	92.8
1951-52	6.40	4,348	122.6	89.5
1952-53	5.39	5,731	129.2	83.0
1953-54	5.68	5,672	133.1	79.5

Sources:

Col. 1: Table 3, column 3.

Col. 2: Table 5, column 3.

Col. 3: Table 4, column 4.

Col. 4: For sources and methods of construction, see Table 4.

1988-1989 National Nutrition Survey Report of the Commonwealth Government, Australia

TABLE 2

Actual and Estimated F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears
Average All Grades and Sizes from 1926-27

Marketing year, June through May	Actual price	Estimated price	Difference: column 1 minus column 2	Column 3 as per cent of column 1
			3	4
			dollars per case	per cent
1926-27	4.31	4.66	-.35	- 8.1
1927-28	4.60	4.52	+.08	+ 1.7
1928-29	4.13	4.30	-.17	- 4.1
1929-30	4.82	4.68	+.14	+ 2.9
1930-31	3.53	3.63	-.10	- 2.8
1931-32	2.82	3.10	-.28	- 9.9
1932-33	2.48	2.28	+.20	+ 8.1
1933-34	2.64	2.48	+.16	+ 6.1
1934-35	3.05	2.77	+.28	+ 9.2
1935-36	2.92	3.06	-.14	- 4.8
1936-37	2.92	2.51	+.41	+14.0
1937-38	3.07	3.53	-.46	-15.0
1938-39	2.77	2.78	-.01	- 0.4
1939-40	3.27	3.36	-.09	- 2.8
(War years)				
1948-49	7.37	6.52	+.85	+11.5
1949-50	5.15	5.11	+.04	+ 0.8
1950-51	6.90	6.19	+.71	+10.3
1951-52	6.40	6.70	-.30	- 4.7
1952-53	5.39	5.97	-.58	-10.8
1953-54	5.68	6.07	-.39	- 6.9

Sources:

Col. 1: From Table 3, column 3.

Col. 2: Estimated by use of data in Table 1 applied to equation on page 5.

S. L. M. T

Under the heading "S. L. M. T" the following entries have been made:

Sl. No.	Date	Debit	Credit	Debit	Credit	Sl. No.
1.0 +		26.00		88.00		73.0001
7.1 +		80.00		25.00		88.0001
1.2 -		72.00		30.00		89.0001
2.3 +		42.00		60.00		90.0001
3.4 -		62.00		32.00		91.0001
2.5 +		88.00		25.00		92.0001
3.6 +		12.00		30.00		93.0001
4.7 +		21.00		60.00		94.0001
5.8 +		11.00		22.00		95.0001
6.9 +		10.00		25.00		96.0001
7.10 +		10.00		25.00		97.0001
8.11 +		10.00		25.00		98.0001
9.12 +		10.00		25.00		99.0001
10.13 +		10.00		25.00		100.0001
11.14 +		10.00		25.00		101.0001
12.15 +		10.00		25.00		102.0001
13.16 +		10.00		25.00		103.0001
14.17 +		10.00		25.00		104.0001
15.18 +		10.00		25.00		105.0001
16.19 +		10.00		25.00		106.0001
17.20 +		10.00		25.00		107.0001
18.21 +		10.00		25.00		108.0001
19.22 +		10.00		25.00		109.0001
20.23 +		10.00		25.00		110.0001
21.24 +		10.00		25.00		111.0001
22.25 +		10.00		25.00		112.0001
23.26 +		10.00		25.00		113.0001
24.27 +		10.00		25.00		114.0001
25.28 +		10.00		25.00		115.0001
26.29 +		10.00		25.00		116.0001
27.30 +		10.00		25.00		117.0001
28.31 +		10.00		25.00		118.0001
29.32 +		10.00		25.00		119.0001
30.33 +		10.00		25.00		120.0001
31.34 +		10.00		25.00		121.0001
32.35 +		10.00		25.00		122.0001
33.36 +		10.00		25.00		123.0001
34.37 +		10.00		25.00		124.0001
35.38 +		10.00		25.00		125.0001
36.39 +		10.00		25.00		126.0001
37.40 +		10.00		25.00		127.0001
38.41 +		10.00		25.00		128.0001
39.42 +		10.00		25.00		129.0001
40.43 +		10.00		25.00		130.0001
41.44 +		10.00		25.00		131.0001
42.45 +		10.00		25.00		132.0001
43.46 +		10.00		25.00		133.0001
44.47 +		10.00		25.00		134.0001
45.48 +		10.00		25.00		135.0001
46.49 +		10.00		25.00		136.0001
47.50 +		10.00		25.00		137.0001
48.51 +		10.00		25.00		138.0001
49.52 +		10.00		25.00		139.0001
50.53 +		10.00		25.00		140.0001
51.54 +		10.00		25.00		141.0001
52.55 +		10.00		25.00		142.0001
53.56 +		10.00		25.00		143.0001
54.57 +		10.00		25.00		144.0001
55.58 +		10.00		25.00		145.0001
56.59 +		10.00		25.00		146.0001
57.60 +		10.00		25.00		147.0001
58.61 +		10.00		25.00		148.0001
59.62 +		10.00		25.00		149.0001
60.63 +		10.00		25.00		150.0001
61.64 +		10.00		25.00		151.0001
62.65 +		10.00		25.00		152.0001
63.66 +		10.00		25.00		153.0001
64.67 +		10.00		25.00		154.0001
65.68 +		10.00		25.00		155.0001
66.69 +		10.00		25.00		156.0001
67.70 +		10.00		25.00		157.0001
68.71 +		10.00		25.00		158.0001
69.72 +		10.00		25.00		159.0001
70.73 +		10.00		25.00		160.0001
71.74 +		10.00		25.00		161.0001
72.75 +		10.00		25.00		162.0001
73.76 +		10.00		25.00		163.0001
74.77 +		10.00		25.00		164.0001
75.78 +		10.00		25.00		165.0001
76.79 +		10.00		25.00		166.0001
77.80 +		10.00		25.00		167.0001
78.81 +		10.00		25.00		168.0001
79.82 +		10.00		25.00		169.0001
80.83 +		10.00		25.00		170.0001
81.84 +		10.00		25.00		171.0001
82.85 +		10.00		25.00		172.0001
83.86 +		10.00		25.00		173.0001
84.87 +		10.00		25.00		174.0001
85.88 +		10.00		25.00		175.0001
86.89 +		10.00		25.00		176.0001
87.90 +		10.00		25.00		177.0001
88.91 +		10.00		25.00		178.0001
89.92 +		10.00		25.00		179.0001
90.93 +		10.00		25.00		180.0001
91.94 +		10.00		25.00		181.0001
92.95 +		10.00		25.00		182.0001
93.96 +		10.00		25.00		183.0001
94.97 +		10.00		25.00		184.0001
95.98 +		10.00		25.00		185.0001
96.99 +		10.00		25.00		186.0001
97.100 +		10.00		25.00		187.0001
98.101 +		10.00		25.00		188.0001
99.102 +		10.00		25.00		189.0001
100.103 +		10.00		25.00		190.0001
101.104 +		10.00		25.00		191.0001
102.105 +		10.00		25.00		192.0001
103.106 +		10.00		25.00		193.0001
104.107 +		10.00		25.00		194.0001
105.108 +		10.00		25.00		195.0001
106.109 +		10.00		25.00		196.0001
107.110 +		10.00		25.00		197.0001
108.111 +		10.00		25.00		198.0001
109.112 +		10.00		25.00		199.0001
110.113 +		10.00		25.00		200.0001
111.114 +		10.00		25.00		201.0001
112.115 +		10.00		25.00		202.0001
113.116 +		10.00		25.00		203.0001
114.117 +		10.00		25.00		204.0001
115.118 +		10.00		25.00		205.0001
116.119 +		10.00		25.00		206.0001
117.120 +		10.00		25.00		207.0001
118.121 +		10.00		25.00		208.0001
119.122 +		10.00		25.00		209.0001
120.123 +		10.00		25.00		210.0001
121.124 +		10.00		25.00		211.0001
122.125 +		10.00		25.00		212.0001
123.126 +		10.00		25.00		213.0001
124.127 +		10.00		25.00		214.0001
125.128 +		10.00		25.00		215.0001
126.129 +		10.00		25.00		216.0001
127.130 +		10.00		25.00		217.0001
128.131 +		10.00		25.00		218.0001
129.132 +		10.00		25.00		219.0001
130.133 +		10.00		25.00		220.0001
131.134 +		10.00		25.00		221.0001
132.135 +		10.00		25.00		222.0001
133.136 +		10.00		25.00		223.0001
134.137 +		10.00		25.00		224.0001
135.138 +		10.00		25.00		225.0001
136.139 +		10.00		25.00		226.0001
137.140 +		10.00		25.00		227.0001
138.141 +		10.00		25.00		228.0001
139.142 +		10.00		25.00		229.0001
140.143 +		10.00		25.00		230.0001
141.144 +		10.00		25.00		231.0001
142.145 +		10.00		25.00		232.0001
143.146 +		10.00		25.00		233.0001
144.147 +		10.00		25.00		234.0001
145.148 +		10.00		25.00		235.0001
146.149 +		10.00		25.00		236.0001
147.150 +		10.00		25.00		237.0001
148.151 +		10.00		25.00		238.0001
149.152 +		10.00		25.00		239.0001
150.153 +		10.00		25.00		240.0001
151.154 +		10.00		25.00		241.0001
152.155 +		10.00		25.00		242.0001
153.156 +		10.00		25.00		243.0001
154.157 +		10.00		25.00		244.0001
155.158 +		10.00		25.00		245.0001
156.159 +		10.00		25.00		246.0001
157.160 +		10.00		25.00		247.0001
158.161 +		10.00		25.00		248.0001
159.162 +		10.00		25.00		249.0001
160.163 +		10.00		25.00		250.0001
161.164 +		10.00		25.00		251.0001
162.165 +		10.00		25.00		252.0001
163.166 +		10.00		25.00		253.0001
164.167 +		10.00		25.00		254.0001
165.168 +		10.00		25.00		255.0001
166.169 +		10.00		25.00		256.0001
167.170 +		10.00		25.00		257.0001
168.171 +		10.00		25.00		258.0001
169.172 +		10.00		25.00		259.0001
170.173 +		10.00		25.00		260.0001
171.174 +		10.00		25.00		261.0001
172.175 +		10.00		25.00		262.0001
173.176 +		10.00		25.00		263.0001
174.177 +		10.00		25.00		264.0001
175.178 +		10.00		25.00		265.0001
176.179 +		10.00		25.00		266.0001
177.180 +		10.00		25.00		267.0001
178.181 +		10.00		25.00		268.0001
179.182 +		10.00		25.00		

TABLE 3
F.O.B. Prices of Canned Fruits from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	California cling peaches (choice No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$)	California	Pacific Coast	California	California	Hawaiian
		apricots	pears	freestone peaches (Elberta choice No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$)	fruit cocktail (choice No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$)	pineapple (sliced fancy No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ f.o.b. San Francisco)
		Average all grades and sizes				
	1	2	3	4	5	6
		dollars per case				
1924-25	4.72	3.91	5.40			5.20
1925-26	4.23	3.72	5.44			4.30
1926-27	4.10	3.85	4.31			4.70
1927-28	3.45	3.97	4.60			4.20
1928-29	3.50	3.67	4.13			4.40
1929-30	4.57	3.97	4.82			4.70
1930-31	3.20	3.32	3.53			4.00
1931-32	2.80	2.64	2.82			3.00
1932-33	2.15	2.23	2.48			3.10
1933-34	2.49	2.37	2.64			3.60
1934-35	2.88	3.47	3.05			3.60
1935-36	2.66	2.93	2.92			3.60
1936-37	2.79	2.75	2.92		3.90	3.60
1937-38	3.11	3.02	3.07		4.00	3.80
1938-39	2.44	2.55	2.77		3.40	3.40
1939-40	2.56	2.77	3.27		3.75	3.60
1940-41	2.43	3.23	3.06	3.20	3.35	3.60
(War years)						
1947-48	4.78	5.20	7.07	5.60	6.90	6.10
1948-49	5.10	4.55	7.37	6.10	6.65	6.80
1949-50	4.07	4.11	5.15	5.08	5.70	6.40
1950-51	5.17	4.83	6.90	6.10	6.65	6.80
1951-52	5.53	5.29	6.40	6.20	6.68	6.80
1952-53	5.32	4.94	5.39	5.75	6.41	6.85
1953-54 ^{a/}	5.14	4.62	5.68	5.60	6.64	6.85

a/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

Sources:

Cols. 1 and 5: Based on data compiled by the Cling Peach Advisory Board.

Col. 2: Based on data compiled by Canners League of California.

Col. 3: Based on data compiled by Canners League of California and Northwest Canners Association.

Cols. 4 and 6: Based on data published in weekly issues, California Fruit News, supplemented by trade information.

noticer of postage premium off

二四〇

Figure 1 and 2: Based on data compiled by the China Basic Statistical Bureau.

Easy-to-use mobile app for remote monitoring of patients. © 2023 MyHealth.

TABLE 4

Construction of Adjusted Index of Prices of Canned Fruits
Competing with Pacific Coast Canned Pears from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Weighted average prices of competing canned fruits		United States disposable income		Adjusted index of competing canned fruit prices, 1947-1949 = 100
	Dollars per case	Relatives, 1947-1949 = 100	Billions of dollars	Index, 1947-1949 = 100	
	1	2	3	4	
1924-25	4.8934	87.63	64.6	34.5	254.0
1925-26	4.2033	75.27	70.1	37.4	201.3
1926-27	4.3185	77.34	71.7	38.3	201.9
1927-28	3.7991	68.04	72.3	38.6	176.3
1928-29	3.8607	69.14	75.1	40.1	172.4
1929-30	4.5492	81.47	78.1	41.7	195.4
1930-31	3.5652	63.85	68.4	36.5	174.9
1931-32	2.8976	51.89	55.4	29.6	175.3
1932-33	2.5285	45.28	46.5	24.8	182.6
1933-34	2.9985	53.70	48.4	25.8	208.1
1934-35	3.2571	58.33	54.8	29.2	199.8
1935-36	3.1100	55.69	62.1	33.1	168.2
1936-37	3.2001	57.31	68.6	36.6	156.6
1937-38	3.4791	62.30	68.3	36.4	171.2
1938-39	2.8841	51.65	67.1	35.8	144.3
1939-40	3.1374	56.19	72.6	38.7	145.2
1940-41	3.0902	55.34	82.0	43.8	126.3
(War years)					
1947-48	5.7124	102.30	179.0	95.5	107.1
1948-49	5.9335	106.26	190.5	101.7	104.5
1949-50	5.1060	91.44	192.7	102.8	88.9
1950-51	5.9872	107.22	216.4	115.5	92.8
1951-52	6.1275	109.73	229.7	122.6	89.5
1952-53	5.9859	107.20	242.1	129.2	83.0
1953-54 ^{a/}	5.9015	105.69	249.4	133.1	79.5

^{a/} Preliminary; subject to revision.

Sources:

Col. 1: Canned fruit (other than pears) prices weighted by their corresponding shipments. F.o.b. prices (other than pears) given in Table 3 weighted by corresponding domestic shipments given in Table 5.

Col. 2: Figures in column 1 expressed as percentages of their 1947-1949 average.

Col. 3: Based on income data reported by U. S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business. From 1924-25 to 1928-29, U. S. Nonagricultural Income; from 1929-30 to 1951-52, U. S. Disposable Personal Income. For 1953-54, the value April-June, 1954, assumed to be the same as that prevailing in the previous quarter, January-March, 1954.

Col. 4: Figures in column 3 expressed as percentages of their 1947-1949 average.

Col. 5: Column 2 as per cent of column 4.

attempted to recruit to their fold such as John G. Carlisle, John C. Calhoun, and others.

Order No.	Date	Customer Name	Product	Quantity	Unit Price	Total Amount	Delivery Status
QH1 = 2021-01-01	2021-01-01	Customer 1	Product A	10	100.00	1000.00	Pending
QH2 = 2021-01-02	2021-01-02	Customer 2	Product B	5	200.00	1000.00	Pending
QH3 = 2021-01-03	2021-01-03	Customer 3	Product C	3	300.00	900.00	Pending
QH4 = 2021-01-04	2021-01-04	Customer 4	Product D	2	400.00	800.00	Pending
QH5 = 2021-01-05	2021-01-05	Customer 5	Product E	1	500.00	500.00	Pending
QH6 = 2021-01-06	2021-01-06	Customer 6	Product F	4	600.00	2400.00	Pending
QH7 = 2021-01-07	2021-01-07	Customer 7	Product G	2	700.00	1400.00	Pending
QH8 = 2021-01-08	2021-01-08	Customer 8	Product H	1	800.00	800.00	Pending
QH9 = 2021-01-09	2021-01-09	Customer 9	Product I	3	900.00	2700.00	Pending
QH10 = 2021-01-10	2021-01-10	Customer 10	Product J	5	1000.00	5000.00	Pending
QH11 = 2021-01-11	2021-01-11	Customer 11	Product K	2	1200.00	2400.00	Pending
QH12 = 2021-01-12	2021-01-12	Customer 12	Product L	1	1500.00	1500.00	Pending
QH13 = 2021-01-13	2021-01-13	Customer 13	Product M	3	1800.00	5400.00	Pending
QH14 = 2021-01-14	2021-01-14	Customer 14	Product N	4	2000.00	8000.00	Pending
QH15 = 2021-01-15	2021-01-15	Customer 15	Product O	1	2500.00	2500.00	Pending
QH16 = 2021-01-16	2021-01-16	Customer 16	Product P	2	3000.00	6000.00	Pending
QH17 = 2021-01-17	2021-01-17	Customer 17	Product Q	1	3500.00	3500.00	Pending
QH18 = 2021-01-18	2021-01-18	Customer 18	Product R	3	4000.00	12000.00	Pending
QH19 = 2021-01-19	2021-01-19	Customer 19	Product S	5	4500.00	22500.00	Pending
QH20 = 2021-01-20	2021-01-20	Customer 20	Product T	2	5000.00	10000.00	Pending
QH21 = 2021-01-21	2021-01-21	Customer 21	Product U	1	6000.00	6000.00	Pending
QH22 = 2021-01-22	2021-01-22	Customer 22	Product V	4	7000.00	28000.00	Pending
QH23 = 2021-01-23	2021-01-23	Customer 23	Product W	3	8000.00	24000.00	Pending
QH24 = 2021-01-24	2021-01-24	Customer 24	Product X	2	9000.00	18000.00	Pending
QH25 = 2021-01-25	2021-01-25	Customer 25	Product Y	1	10000.00	10000.00	Pending
QH26 = 2021-01-26	2021-01-26	Customer 26	Product Z	5	12000.00	60000.00	Pending
QH27 = 2021-01-27	2021-01-27	Customer 27	Product AA	3	15000.00	45000.00	Pending
QH28 = 2021-01-28	2021-01-28	Customer 28	Product BB	2	20000.00	40000.00	Pending
QH29 = 2021-01-29	2021-01-29	Customer 29	Product CC	1	25000.00	25000.00	Pending
QH30 = 2021-01-30	2021-01-30	Customer 30	Product DD	4	30000.00	120000.00	Pending
QH31 = 2021-01-31	2021-01-31	Customer 31	Product EE	3	35000.00	105000.00	Pending
QH32 = 2021-02-01	2021-02-01	Customer 32	Product FF	2	40000.00	80000.00	Pending
QH33 = 2021-02-02	2021-02-02	Customer 33	Product GG	1	50000.00	50000.00	Pending
QH34 = 2021-02-03	2021-02-03	Customer 34	Product HH	3	60000.00	180000.00	Pending
QH35 = 2021-02-04	2021-02-04	Customer 35	Product II	2	70000.00	140000.00	Pending
QH36 = 2021-02-05	2021-02-05	Customer 36	Product JJ	1	80000.00	80000.00	Pending
QH37 = 2021-02-06	2021-02-06	Customer 37	Product KK	4	90000.00	360000.00	Pending
QH38 = 2021-02-07	2021-02-07	Customer 38	Product LL	3	100000.00	300000.00	Pending
QH39 = 2021-02-08	2021-02-08	Customer 39	Product MM	2	120000.00	240000.00	Pending
QH40 = 2021-02-09	2021-02-09	Customer 40	Product NN	1	150000.00	150000.00	Pending
QH41 = 2021-02-10	2021-02-10	Customer 41	Product OO	5	180000.00	900000.00	Pending
QH42 = 2021-02-11	2021-02-11	Customer 42	Product PP	3	200000.00	600000.00	Pending
QH43 = 2021-02-12	2021-02-12	Customer 43	Product QQ	2	250000.00	500000.00	Pending
QH44 = 2021-02-13	2021-02-13	Customer 44	Product RR	1	300000.00	300000.00	Pending
QH45 = 2021-02-14	2021-02-14	Customer 45	Product SS	4	350000.00	1400000.00	Pending
QH46 = 2021-02-15	2021-02-15	Customer 46	Product TT	3	400000.00	1200000.00	Pending
QH47 = 2021-02-16	2021-02-16	Customer 47	Product UU	2	450000.00	900000.00	Pending
QH48 = 2021-02-17	2021-02-17	Customer 48	Product VV	1	500000.00	500000.00	Pending
QH49 = 2021-02-18	2021-02-18	Customer 49	Product WW	5	600000.00	3000000.00	Pending
QH50 = 2021-02-19	2021-02-19	Customer 50	Product XX	3	700000.00	2100000.00	Pending
QH51 = 2021-02-20	2021-02-20	Customer 51	Product YY	2	800000.00	1600000.00	Pending
QH52 = 2021-02-21	2021-02-21	Customer 52	Product ZZ	1	900000.00	900000.00	Pending
QH53 = 2021-02-22	2021-02-22	Customer 53	Product AA	4	1000000.00	4000000.00	Pending
QH54 = 2021-02-23	2021-02-23	Customer 54	Product BB	3	1200000.00	3600000.00	Pending
QH55 = 2021-02-24	2021-02-24	Customer 55	Product CC	2	1500000.00	3000000.00	Pending
QH56 = 2021-02-25	2021-02-25	Customer 56	Product DD	1	2000000.00	2000000.00	Pending
QH57 = 2021-02-26	2021-02-26	Customer 57	Product EE	5	2500000.00	12500000.00	Pending
QH58 = 2021-02-27	2021-02-27	Customer 58	Product FF	3	3000000.00	9000000.00	Pending
QH59 = 2021-02-28	2021-02-28	Customer 59	Product GG	2	3500000.00	7000000.00	Pending
QH60 = 2021-02-29	2021-02-29	Customer 60	Product HH	1	4000000.00	4000000.00	Pending
QH61 = 2021-03-01	2021-03-01	Customer 61	Product II	4	4500000.00	18000000.00	Pending
QH62 = 2021-03-02	2021-03-02	Customer 62	Product JJ	3	5000000.00	15000000.00	Pending
QH63 = 2021-03-03	2021-03-03	Customer 63	Product KK	2	6000000.00	12000000.00	Pending
QH64 = 2021-03-04	2021-03-04	Customer 64	Product LL	1	7000000.00	7000000.00	Pending
QH65 = 2021-03-05	2021-03-05	Customer 65	Product MM	5	8000000.00	40000000.00	Pending
QH66 = 2021-03-06	2021-03-06	Customer 66	Product NN	3	9000000.00	27000000.00	Pending
QH67 = 2021-03-07	2021-03-07	Customer 67	Product OO	2	10000000.00	20000000.00	Pending
QH68 = 2021-03-08	2021-03-08	Customer 68	Product PP	1	12000000.00	12000000.00	Pending
QH69 = 2021-03-09	2021-03-09	Customer 69	Product QQ	4	15000000.00	60000000.00	Pending
QH70 = 2021-03-10	2021-03-10	Customer 70	Product RR	3	20000000.00	60000000.00	Pending
QH71 = 2021-03-11	2021-03-11	Customer 71	Product SS	2	25000000.00	50000000.00	Pending
QH72 = 2021-03-12	2021-03-12	Customer 72	Product TT	1	30000000.00	30000000.00	Pending
QH73 = 2021-03-13	2021-03-13	Customer 73	Product UU	5	35000000.00	175000000.00	Pending
QH74 = 2021-03-14	2021-03-14	Customer 74	Product VV	3	40000000.00	120000000.00	Pending
QH75 = 2021-03-15	2021-03-15	Customer 75	Product WW	2	45000000.00	90000000.00	Pending
QH76 = 2021-03-16	2021-03-16	Customer 76	Product XX	1	50000000.00	50000000.00	Pending
QH77 = 2021-03-17	2021-03-17	Customer 77	Product YY	6	60000000.00	360000000.00	Pending
QH78 = 2021-03-18	2021-03-18	Customer 78	Product ZZ	4	70000000.00	280000000.00	Pending
QH79 = 2021-03-19	2021-03-19	Customer 79	Product AA	3	80000000.00	240000000.00	Pending
QH80 = 2021-03-20	2021-03-20	Customer 80	Product BB	2	90000000.00	180000000.00	Pending
QH81 = 2021-03-21	2021-03-21	Customer 81	Product CC	1	100000000.00	100000000.00	Pending
QH82 = 2021-03-22	2021-03-22	Customer 82	Product DD	5	120000000.00	600000000.00	Pending
QH83 = 2021-03-23	2021-03-23	Customer 83	Product EE	3	150000000.00	450000000.00	Pending
QH84 = 2021-03-24	2021-03-24	Customer 84	Product FF	2	200000000.00	300000000.00	Pending
QH85 = 2021-03-25	2021-03-25	Customer 85	Product GG	1	250000000.00	250000000.00	Pending
QH86 = 2021-03-26	2021-03-26	Customer 86	Product HH	6	300000000.00	1800000000.00	Pending
QH87 = 2021-03-27	2021-03-27	Customer 87	Product II	4	350000000.00	1400000000.00	Pending
QH88 = 2021-03-28	2021-03-28	Customer 88	Product JJ	3	400000000.00	1200000000.00	Pending
QH89 = 2021-03-29	2021-03-29	Customer 89	Product KK	2	450000000.00	900000000.00	Pending
QH90 = 2021-03-30	2021-03-30	Customer 90	Product LL	1	500000000.00	500000000.00	Pending
QH91 = 2021-03-31	2021-03-31	Customer 91	Product MM	7	600000000.00	4200000000.00	Pending
QH92 = 2021-04-01	2021-04-01	Customer 92	Product NN	5	700000000.00	3500000000.00	Pending
QH93 = 2021-04-02	2021-04-02	Customer 93	Product OO	3	800000000.00	2400000000.00	Pending
QH94 = 2021-04-03	2021-04-03	Customer 94	Product PP	2	900000000.00	1800000000.00	Pending
QH95 = 2021-04-04	2021-04-04	Customer 95	Product QQ	1	1000000000.00	1000000000.00	Pending
QH96 = 2021-04-05	2021-04-05	Customer 96	Product RR	6	1200000000.00	7200000000.00	Pending
QH97 = 2021-04-06	2021-04-06	Customer 97	Product SS	4	1500000000.00	5400000000.00	Pending
QH98 = 2021-04-07	2021-04-07	Customer 98	Product TT	3	2000000000.00	6000000000.00	Pending
QH99 = 2021-04-08	2021-04-08	Customer 99	Product UU	2	2500000000.00	5000000000.00	Pending
QH100 = 2021-04-09	2021-04-09	Customer 100	Product VV	1	3000000000.00	3000000000.00	Pending

Pastorius; object of reversion.

TABLE 5

Canners' Commercial Domestic Shipments of Canned Fruits from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Calif- ornia cling peaches	Calif- ornia apricots	Pacific Coast pears	Calif- ornia free- stone peaches	Calif- ornia fruit cocktail	Pine- apple	Total	Total, excluding Pacific Coast pears
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	thousands of cases; 24 No. $2\frac{1}{2}$ basis							
1924-25	4,607	1,235	1,014			6,611	13,467	12,453
1925-26	7,484	1,755	1,293			6,611	17,143	15,850
1926-27	8,599	2,038	1,957			7,465	20,059	18,102
1927-28	10,867	1,779	1,637			8,603	22,886	21,249
1928-29	10,490	2,195	2,170			7,876	22,731	20,561
1929-30	7,483	2,259	2,383			7,616	19,741	17,358
1930-31	9,257	2,183	2,617			8,994	23,051	20,434
1931-32	5,976	1,541	1,990			9,525	19,032	17,042
1932-33	8,148	1,521	2,200			6,270	18,139	15,939
1933-34	7,415	2,034	2,767			8,327	20,543	17,776
1934-35	7,685	1,477	2,984			7,500	19,646	16,662
1935-36	8,452	1,951	2,670			8,600	21,673	19,003
1936-37	9,358	2,992	3,997		1,450	10,400	28,197	24,200
1937-38	6,854	2,901	2,681		1,589	9,100	23,125	20,444
1938-39	10,127	2,562	3,114		2,070	8,200	26,073	22,959
1939-40	8,673	2,640	2,768		2,151	10,100	26,332	23,564
1940-41	11,433	2,012	4,150	1,233	4,448	11,500	34,776	30,626
(War years)								
1947-48	13,843	2,415	4,866	1,291	8,770	10,100	41,285	36,419
1948-49	12,382	3,528	3,660	1,690	6,732	11,500	39,492	35,832
1949-50	15,849	3,072	5,613	1,493	7,021	11,900	44,948	39,335
1950-51	14,287	3,566	4,815	1,896	8,003	12,400	44,967	40,152
1951-52	13,648	3,410	4,348	2,271	5,499	11,500	40,676	36,328
1952-53	14,374	3,158	5,731	2,479	7,361	12,500	45,603	39,872
1953-54 ^{a/}	14,660	4,139	5,672	2,749	6,989	12,700	46,909	41,237

^{a/} Preliminary; subject to revision.

Sources:

- Col. 1: Table 6, column 7.
 Col. 2: Table 7, column 7.
 Col. 3: Table 8, column 7.
 Col. 4: Table 9, column 5.
 Col. 5: Table 10, column 8.
 Col. 6: Based on data compiled by Pineapple Growers Association of Hawaii and U. S. Department of Commerce, supplemented by movement data from trade sources.
 Col. 7: Sum of columns 1 through 6.
 Col. 8: Column 7 minus column 1.

TABLE 6

California Canned Cling Peaches, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over,
Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Canners' stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Canners' stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from canners' hands	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
		1	2	3	4	5	6
thousands of cases; 24 No. $2\frac{1}{2}$ basis							
1924-25		1,391		709			4,607
1925-26		709		449			7,484
1926-27		449		3,730			8,599
1927-28	10,499	3,730	14,229	1,322	12,907	2,040	10,867
1928-29	14,439	1,322	15,761	3,109	12,652	2,162	10,490
1929-30	7,724	3,109	10,833	1,629	9,204	1,721	7,483
1930-31	13,174	1,629	14,803	3,922	10,881	1,624	9,257
1931-32	8,349	3,922	12,271	4,826	7,445	1,469	5,976
1932-33	6,414	4,826	11,240	1,359	9,881	1,733	8,148
1933-34	10,244	1,359	11,603	2,389	9,214	1,799	7,415
1934-35	8,258	2,389	10,647	1,836	8,811	1,126	7,685
1935-36	10,850	1,836	12,686	1,929	10,757	2,305	8,452
1936-37	10,236	1,929	12,165	1,498	10,667	1,309	9,358
1937-38	12,205	1,498	13,703	5,578	8,125	1,271	6,854
1938-39	9,446	5,578	15,024	2,737	12,287	2,160	10,127
1939-40	10,579	2,737	13,316	2,690	10,626	1,953	8,673
1940-41	9,608	2,690	12,299	779	11,520	87	11,433
(War years)							
1947-48	15,309	456	15,765	1,247	14,518	675	13,843
1948-49	14,650	1,247	15,897	3,061	12,836 ^{a/}	454	12,382
1949-50	16,525	3,061	19,585	2,058	16,332 ^{b/}	483	15,879
1950-51	14,417	2,058	16,475	531	14,771 ^{c/}	484	14,287
1951-52	19,145	531	19,676	3,418	14,078 ^{d/}	430	13,648
1952-53	14,964	3,418	18,382	2,328	14,888 ^{e/}	514	14,374
1953-54 ^{f/}	17,163	2,328	19,490	2,622	15,571 ^{f/}	911	14,660

(Continued on next page.)

(*Writing from an ancient manuscript*)

Table 6 continued.

- a/ Excludes government school lunch purchases of 865,000 cases in June, 1949-March, 1950, and an additional 331,000 cases in April, 1950. If school lunch purchases were included, total movement would be 17,528,219 cases.
- b/ Excludes government purchases of 1,172,766 cases. If government purchases were included, total movement would be 15,943,675 cases.
- c/ Excludes government purchases of 2,180,000 cases (1,734,000 quartermaster and 446,000 school lunch). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 16,258,400 cases.
- d/ Excludes government purchases of 1,166,000 cases (960,000 quartermaster and 206,000 school lunch). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 16,054,000 cases.
- e/ Preliminary; subject to revision.
- f/ Excludes government purchases of 1,297,000 cases (534,000 quartermaster and 763,000 school lunch). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 16,868,000 cases.

Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, and 4: From reports issued by Canners League of California and Cling Peach Advisory Board.

Col. 3: Column 1 plus column 2.

Col. 5: Column 3 minus column 4.

Col. 6: U. S. Department of Commerce, January-May, 1954, estimated.
(All exports considered to be clings since no breakdown between clings and frees available.)

Col. 7: Column 5 minus column 6. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1926-27 from Sidney Hoos and Irving Dubov. Indexes of Competing Canned Fruit Prices. Giannini Foundation Report, October, 1949.

berlinches & eld

gutachten wird, in das 200,000. für die auswärtigen Ausgaben und 100,000. für die inneren Ausgaben. Die Kosten der Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

A Projekt aus dem Jahre 1923, das im Rahmen des Haushaltshauses der Republik erarbeitet wurde, sah eine Ausgaben von 100,000. für die inneren Ausgaben und 100,000. für die auswärtigen Ausgaben vor.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben (100,000.) werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

Die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben werden auf 100,000. aufgeteilt, so dass die Kosten der inneren Ausgaben auf 100,000. und die Kosten der auswärtigen Ausgaben auf 100,000. verteilt werden.

TABLE 7

California Canned Apricots, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over,
Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Canners' stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Canners' stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from canners' hands	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
		1	2	3	4	5	6
		thousands of cases; 24 No. $2\frac{1}{2}$ basis					
1924-25		298		315			1,235
1925-26		315		21			1,755
1926-27	3,227	21	3,248	401	2,847	809	2,038
1927-28	2,960	401	3,361	952	2,409	630	1,779
1928-29	1,991	952	2,943	154	2,789	594	2,195
1929-30	4,023	154	4,177	1,189	2,988	729	2,259
1930-31	1,954	1,189	3,143	546	2,597	414	2,183
1931-32	2,006	546	2,552	515	2,037	496	1,541
1932-33	1,805	515	2,320	323	1,997	476	1,521
1933-34	2,416	323	2,739	167	2,572	538	2,034
1934-35	1,774	167	1,941	227	1,714	237	1,477
1935-36	3,164	227	3,391	844	2,547	596	1,951
1936-37	2,899	844	3,743	228	3,515	523	2,992
1937-38	5,553	228	5,781	2,305	3,476	575	2,901
1938-39	1,547	2,305	3,852	528	3,324	762	2,562
1939-40	3,338	528	3,866	479	3,387	747	2,640
1940-41							2,012
 (War years)							
1947-48	3,063	279	3,342	639	2,703	288	2,415
1948-49	4,651	639	5,290	1,508	3,782	254	3,528
1949-50	2,307	1,508	3,815	532	3,283	211	3,072
1950-51	3,661	532	4,193	115	4,078	135	3,566 ^a /
1951-52	4,538	115	4,653	614	4,039	133	3,410 ^b /
1952-53	3,905	614	4,519	646	3,873	112	3,158 ^c /
1953-54 ^d /	4,718	646	5,364	729	4,378	239	4,139 ^e /

(Continued on next page.)

Table 7 continued.

- a/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 375,564 cases.
If government purchases were included, total domestic shipments would be
3,942,072 cases.
- b/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 496,000 cases.
If government purchases were included, total domestic shipments would be
3,906,000 cases.
- c/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 603,000 cases.
If government purchases were included, total domestic shipments would be
3,761,000 cases.
- d/ Preliminary; subject to revision.
- e/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 257,000 cases.
If government purchases were included, total domestic shipments would be
4,396,000 cases.

Sources:

Cols. 1 through 5: From reports issued by Canners League of California.

Col. 6: U. S. Department of Commerce, January-May, 1954, estimated.

Col. 7: Column 5 minus column 6. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and
1940-41 from Sidney Hoos and Irving Dubov, Indexes of
Competing Canned Fruit Prices. Giannini Foundation
Report, October, 1949.

Table 5 contains

values of λ , the ratio of the average number of individuals per household to the average number of households per unit of area.

area 900,000 to 1,000,000 (excluding suburban) corresponds to $\lambda = 1.0$ for urban areas where there is no difference between mean and median values. If however, the mean value is much larger than the median, then λ is less than 1.0.

Employing Unitary Settlement (as defined earlier) as a base, λ is 1.0 for urban areas where there is no difference between mean and median values. If however, the mean value is much larger than the median, then λ is less than 1.0.

Employing Unitary Settlement (as defined earlier) as a base, λ is 1.0 for urban areas where there is no difference between mean and median values. If however, the mean value is much larger than the median, then λ is less than 1.0.

Employing Unitary Settlement (as defined earlier) as a base, λ is 1.0 for urban areas where there is no difference between mean and median values. If however, the mean value is much larger than the median, then λ is less than 1.0.

Case 5 provides: From records issued by Census Bureau of Griffiths.

U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 1925, estimates.

Case 5: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1925, says

Griffiths:

Cot. 6:

Cot. 7:

TABLE 8

Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over,
Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Canners' stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Canners' stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from canners' hands	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
		1	2	3	4	5	6
thousands of cases; 24 No. $2\frac{1}{2}$ basis							
1924-25		142		51			1,014
1925-26		51		507			1,293
1926-27	3,260	507	3,767	401	3,366	1,409	1,957
1927-28	2,639	401	3,040	167	2,873	1,236	1,637
1928-29	4,116	167	4,283	292	3,991	1,821	2,170
1929-30	4,206	292	4,498	952	3,546	1,163	2,383
1930-31	4,153	952	5,105	893	4,212	1,595	2,617
1931-32	3,635	893	4,528	870	3,658	1,668	1,990
1932-33	3,117	870	3,987	429	3,558	1,358	2,200
1933-34	4,377	429	4,806	273	4,533	1,766	2,767
1934-35	5,505	273	5,778	1,291	4,487	1,503	2,984
1935-36	4,230	1,291	5,521	957	4,564	1,894	2,670
1936-37	5,355	957	6,312	850	5,462	1,465	3,997
1937-38	4,321	850	5,171	1,150	4,021	1,340	2,681
1938-39	4,090	1,150	5,240	400	4,840	1,726	3,114
1939-40	4,057	400	4,457	280	4,177	1,409	2,768
1940-41							4,150
(War years)							
1947-48	5,622	200	5,822	726	5,096	230	4,866
1948-49	3,831	726	4,557	761	3,796	136	3,660
1949-50	5,459	761	6,220	448	5,772	159	5,613
1950-51	6,048	448	6,496	566	5,930	215	4,815a/
1951-52	6,215	566	6,781	1,575	5,206	95	4,348b/
1952-53	6,003	1,575	7,578	1,361	6,217	131	5,731c/
1953-54d/	5,185	1,361	6,546	458	5,778	106	5,672e/

(Continued on next page.)

Philip Goss Chaffey Park, Ontario, Canada-A-1978

Table 8 continued.

- a/ Excludes 900,549 cases purchased by U. S. Defense Department (Quartermaster Corps). If quartermaster purchases were included, domestic shipments would amount to 5,715,000 cases.
- b/ Excludes 763,000 cases purchased by U. S. Defense Department (Quartermaster Corps). If quartermaster purchases were included, domestic shipments would amount to 5,111,000 cases.
- c/ Excludes 355,000 cases purchased by U. S. Defense Department (Quartermaster Corps). If quartermaster purchases were included, domestic shipments would amount to 6,086,000 cases.
- d/ Preliminary; subject to revision.
- e/ Excludes 310,000 cases purchased by U. S. Defense Department (Quartermaster Corps). If quartermaster purchases were included, domestic shipments would amount to 5,982,000 cases.

Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, and 4: From reports issued by Canners League of California and Northwest Canners Association.

Col. 3: Column 1 plus column 2.

Col. 5: Column 3 minus column 4.

Col. 7: Column 5 minus column 6. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1940-41 from Sidney Hoos and Irving Dubov, Indexes of Competing Canned Fruit Prices. Giannini Foundation Report, October, 1949.

Table 8 continues

...
Exhibit 35 cases were processed by U.S. Customs Department (which includes port
of entry). It departmental expenses were incurred in connection with
a volume of \$1,150,000 cases.

Exhibit 36 cases were processed by U.S. Customs Department (which includes port
of entry). It departmental expenses were incurred in connection with
a volume of \$1,150,000 cases.

Exhibit 37 cases were processed by U.S. Customs Department (which includes port
of entry). It departmental expenses were incurred in connection with
a volume of \$1,150,000 cases.

Exhibit 38 cases were processed by U.S. Customs Department (which includes port
of entry). It departmental expenses were incurred in connection with
a volume of \$1,150,000 cases.

Exhibit 39 cases were processed by Canadian Revenue of Canada because the
Customs Department did not have sufficient staff to handle the work.

Copy of Exhibit 39 cases were processed by Canadian Revenue of Canada because the
Customs Department did not have sufficient staff to handle the work.

Copy of Exhibit 39 cases were processed by Canadian Revenue of Canada because the
Customs Department did not have sufficient staff to handle the work.

Copy of Exhibit 39 cases were processed by Canadian Revenue of Canada because the
Customs Department did not have sufficient staff to handle the work.

Copy of Exhibit 39 cases were processed by Canadian Revenue of Canada because the
Customs Department did not have sufficient staff to handle the work.

Copy of Exhibit 39 cases were processed by Canadian Revenue of Canada because the
Customs Department did not have sufficient staff to handle the work.

Copy of Exhibit 39 cases were processed by Canadian Revenue of Canada because the
Customs Department did not have sufficient staff to handle the work.

TABLE 9

California Canned Freestone Peaches, Canners' Pack,
Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Canners' stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Canners' stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from canners' hands ^{a/}
		1		2	3
		thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis			
1924-25					1,030
1925-26					1,027
1926-27					447
1927-28	314	176	490	193	296
1928-29	157	193	350	40	310
1929-30	376	40	416	54	362
1930-31	120	54	174	29	145
1931-32	72	29	101	19	82
1932-33	23	19	42	2	40
1933-34	65	2	67	2	65
1934-35	340	2	342	21	321
1935-36	365	21	386	112	274
1936-37	475	112	587	69	518
1937-38	1,043	69	1,112	435	677
1938-39	376	435	811	269	542
1939-40	882	269	1,151	273	878
1940-41	1,134	273	1,407	174	1,233
(War years)					
1947-48	1,497	41	1,538	248	1,291
1948-49	1,708	248	1,952	265	1,690
1949-50	1,499	265	1,764	271	1,493
1950-51	1,677	271	1,948	52	1,896
1951-52	2,793	52	2,845	374	2,271 ^{b/}
1952-53	2,670	374	3,044	465	2,479 ^{c/}
1953-54 ^{d/}	2,580	465	3,045	259	2,749 ^{e/}

(Continued on next page.)

2 37.7.9

Table 9 continued.

- a/ No exports of canned freestone peaches are reflected; exports of all canned peaches are considered as clingings since no breakdown between exports of clingings and frees is available.
- b/ Excludes United States government purchases of 200,000 cases (quartermaster). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 2,471,000 cases.
- c/ Excludes United States government purchases of 100,000 cases (quartermaster). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 2,579,000 cases.
- d/ Preliminary; subject to revision.
- e/ Excludes United States government purchases of 37,000 cases (quartermaster). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 2,786,000 cases.

Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, and 4: From reports issued by Canners League of California.

Col. 3:

Column 1 plus column 2.

Col. 5:

Column 3 minus column 4. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1926-27 from Sidney Hoos and Irving Dubov, Indexes of Competing Canned Fruit Prices. Giannini Foundation Report, October, 1949.

Table 9 (cont'd)

W. exports of crude lumber by species are likely to be exports of
all named species as well as some un-named species.

Exports of timber by species are likely to be purchases of 200,000 cubic
(as follows). If purchases were increasing, total
movement would be \$150,000 cubic.

Exports of lumber by species are likely to be purchases of 100,000 cubic
(as follows). If purchases were increasing, total
movement would be \$75,000 cubic.

Preliminary; subject of revision.

Exports of lumber by species are likely to be purchases of 35,000 cubic
(as follows). If purchases were increasing, total
movement would be \$35,000 cubic.

Source:
Cof. 1, 2, and 3: From reports issued by Chambers of
Commerce.

Column 1 from column 2.

Column 3 minus column 2.
1935-36 and 1936-37 from Shirey Wood and
Lumber Company, Indexes of Compacting Company
Lumber Company Report.
October, 1937.

Cof. 3:

Cof. 2:

TABLE 10

California Canned Fruit Cocktail, Canners' Pack,
Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports from 1936-37

Marketing year, June through May	Direct pack	Remanu- factured pack	Canners' carry- over on hand at begin- ning of year	Total supply	Canners' carry- over on hand at end of year	Total movement from canners' hands ^{a/}	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
			1		2			
thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis								
1936-37	2,221			2,595	336	2,259	809	1,450
1937-38	3,078	228,000	336	3,642	1,295	2,347	758	1,589
1938-39	1,968	75,000	1,295	3,337	288	3,049	979	2,070
1939-40	3,580	73,000	288	3,942	747	3,195	1,044	2,151
1940-41	4,262	98,000	747	5,108	587	4,521	73	4,448
(War years)								
1947-48	9,324	125,000	62	9,511	299	9,212	442	8,770
1948-49	9,754	85,000	299	10,139	3,016	7,122	390	6,732
1949-50	6,135	445,000	3,016	9,596	2,014	7,492	471	7,021
1950-51	6,810	302,000	2,104	9,217	490	8,727	724	8,003
1951-52	8,999	--	490	9,488	2,335	7,153	705	5,499 ^{b/}
1952-53	7,489	0.277	2,335	9,824	1,047	8,777	1,004	7,361 ^{c/}
1953-54 ^{d/}	8,056	172,000	1,047	9,274	1,162	8,112	796	6,989 ^{e/}

a/ Movement figures may not be precisely consistent with other figures due to rounding.

b/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 949,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic movement would be 6,448,000 cases.

c/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 412,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic movement would be 7,773,000 cases.

d/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

e/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 327,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic movement would be 7,316,000 cases.

Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, 3, and 5: From reports issued by Canners League of California.

Col. 4: Sum of columns 1, 2, and 3.

Col. 6: Column 4 less column 5.

Col. 7: U. S. Department of Commerce, January-May, 1954, estimated.

Col. 8: Column 6 minus column 7.

